

# CCB Meeting

## Decision Summary

Thurs., July 3, 2003  
 No Meeting  
 Requests approved via email

### Requests Covered

	Submitted By	Area Affected	Type Of Request	Cost/Schedule Impact	Document Title
01	Vanessa Grandy	IEdison II	Out of Cycle Fix	Critical	

### Request & Decision Summary

	Submitted By	Area Affected	Type Of Request	Cost/Schedule Impact	Document Title
01	Vanessa Grandy	IEdison II	Out of Cycle Fix	Critical	
	<p><b>Request:</b>            Additional minor enhancements to the iEdison II web site and one major enhancement for the PowerBuilder user interface has been requested in order to obtain commitment from DOE to allow all extramural institutions that have funding support from them to use iEdison when reporting inventions.</p> <p>With the initial release of iEdison II, it had already been anticipated that DOE would be in support of iEdison and therefore, DOE was included. But as part of the pilot release, DOE only agreed to allow 5 institutions to submit invention reports using iEdison. Since then they have communicated that they are only willing to allow all institutions to submit inventions funded by them to iEdison if certain capabilities are provided. If we fully deploy iEdison II now, all extramural institutions will automatically have the capability to submit inventions funded by DOE even though they have not given this authorization. As a result, either enhancements to support DOE need to be made or changes need to be made to remove DOE. It is in the best interest of the project to include DOE and it will actually require less regression testing of the iEdison II web site to make the minor enhancements rather versus remove DOE.</p> <p>Changes required to the iEdison II web site to support DOE are summarized below:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Limit DOE reporting to only inventions related to DOE grants and to a specific DOE contract.</li> <li>• DOE must receive an email when any “DOE” invention or patent is created.</li> <li>• The user interface must be adjusted to remind grantee/contractors that only DOE grant-derived inventions can be reported.</li> </ul> <p>The following feature for PowerBuilder to support DOE viewing of documents for IDO Users is also required. Because PowerBuilder can be changed independently of changes made for iEdison II, the CCB Request does not include estimates or scheduling for this particular change.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The capability to view documents associated with inventions funded by DOE is required. Note: This capability currently exists via the iEdison II web site, but is also being requested for inclusion into the PowerBuilder user interface for IDO iEdison users.</li> </ul> <p>In addition to enhancements required for DOE, the following defects for iEdison II must also be corrected prior to full deployment of iEdison II:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Allow extramural users to have the capability to waive inventions to government, inventors, or to a third party even when a non-provisional or issued patent has been defined for the invention. This rule must be incorporated because it is in direct conflict with the law.</li> <li>• Require that Title Election Date be provided when user chooses to license the invention as an unpatented biological material or research tool. This was a missed requirement that needs to be incorporated and should work similar to how handled for the same as the invention status of “Elect Title”.</li> </ul> <p>By implementing these suggested changes along with the view documents change required for PowerBuilder, we would also be able to fully deploy iEdison and turn off the pilot release.</p> <p><b>Decision:</b> No issues raised—Approved</p>				